Vote No. 255

August 4, 1999, 3:32 p.m. Page S-10176 Temp. Record

AGRICULTURE APPROPRIATIONS/4th Agriculture Aid Proposal (Dorgan)

SUBJECT:

Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 2000 . . . S. 1233. Cochran motion to table the Dorgan amendment No. 1515 to the Lott (for Daschle) amendment No. 1499, as amended.

ACTION: MOTION TO TABLE AGREED TO, 55-44

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. 1233, the Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 2000, will provide \$60.710 billion in new budget authority (of which \$13.98 billion will be discretionary budget authority, which is the amount provided in fiscal year 1999) for fiscal year 2000. Loan authorizations will total \$9.650 billion.

The Lott (for Daschle) amendment, as amended by voice vote by the Cochran modified amendment, would provide \$7.6 billion in emergency agricultural assistance. The amendment would enact the provisions of an earlier Cochran amendment which was withdrawn after a motion to table failed (see vote No. 249), with the addition of \$400 million to assist agricultural producers in purchasing more insurance coverage for the 2000 crop year.

The Dorgan amendment would enact a modified version of the Daschle amendment which was earlier rejected by the Senate (see vote No. 250). It would make adjustments in several of the aid proposals in the Daschle amendment in order to lower the total amount of aid given (as estimated by the amendment's sponsor) to \$9.837 billion. That amount is approximately \$1 billion less than was proposed in the Daschle amendment.

Debate was limited by unanimous consent. After debate, Senator Cochran moved to table the Dorgan amendment. Generally, those favoring the motion to table opposed the amendment; those opposing the motion to table favored the amendment.

Those favoring the motion to table contended:

(See other side)

YEAS (55)			NAYS (44)			NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans Democrats (53 or 98%) (2 or 4%)		Republicans	Democrats		Republicans	Democrats	
		(2 or 4%)	(1 or 2%)	(43 or 96%)		(1)	(0)
Abraham Allard Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brownback Bunning Campbell Chafee Cochran Collins Coverdell Craig DeWine Domenici Enzi Fitzgerald Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hagel Hatch Helms Hutchinson	Hutchison Inhofe Jeffords Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Roberts Roth Santorum Sessions Shelby Smith, Bob (I) Smith, Gordon Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Voinovich Warner	Feingold Graham	Burns	Akaka Baucus Bayh Biden Bingaman Boxer Breaux Bryan Byrd Cleland Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Durbin Edwards Feinstein Harkin Hollings Inouye Johnson Kennedy	Kerrey Kerry Kohl Landrieu Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Lincoln Mikulski Moynihan Murray Reed Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Schumer Torricelli Wellstone Wyden	EXPLANAT 1—Official F 2—Necessar: 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 255 AUGUST 4, 1999

This amendment, for all intents and purposes, is the same as the Daschle amendment, except that it would provide slightly less aid. Senators should reject this amendment for all of the reasons they rejected the earlier Daschle amendment.

Those opposing the motion to table contended:

Senators Dorgan, Harkin, Conrad, Daschle, Johnson, and others joined together to try to come up with a fair compromise between the Cochran amendment, which the Senate failed to table, and the Daschle amendment, which was tabled. The result of that effort is this amendment, which we believe is eminently reasonable. This amendment would provide funding in the same manner and through the same programs as the earlier Daschle amendment. However, it would provide about \$1 billion less. Unlike the Cochran amendment, which would provide funds through the untargeted mechanism of Agriculture Market Transition Act (AMTA) payments, this amendment would give the bulk of its assistance through loan deficiency payments and through disaster assistance programs. We urge Senators to vote for this fair compromise.